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## Feedback below

\*\*What is their topic on?\*\*

The topic of this presentation was the 2020 presidential debates between Joe Biden and Donald Trump.

\*\*Is the title consistent with the topic?\*\*

The title is: "Biden Vs. Trump (An Analysis of the 2020 Debates)", which is directly related to the proposed topic.

\*\*In other words does the title make sense for the project?\*\*

Yes, this title makes a lot of sense for this project.

\*\*Are the objectives of the project clearly identifiable ?\*\*

The objectives for this project were only vaguely defined in the beginning, and not until about the middle of the presentation did it become clear what the specific objectives were. that the objective was to analyze "keywords", most frequently used concepts and ideas, etc.

\*\*What are they wanting to study?\*\*

What were the “keywords” or most focused on points/ideas related to the presidential debates in 2020.

\*\*What is the motivation of this project?\*\*

The motivation of this project was not directly disussed but it seemed to be an attempt to focus on particular issues that each presidential candidate had used in order to try to analyze what was received as positive or negative to the general public more.

\*\*What does the presenter hope to accomplish with this project?\*\*

Presenter hopes to summarize and target a small number of the most focused on words during these debates. What are the words or ideas that each candidate focused on the most?

For example:

Trump; dollar, country, military, million

Biden; people, president, trump, fact

\*\*What data are used?\*\*

Presidental debate transcripts from the September 2020 and October 2020 public debates.

\*\*What website(s) are scraped?\*\*

debates.org/voter-education

\*\*Are other data brought in from outside sources?\*\*

No other data was brought in from outside sources.

\*\*What is your overall impression of the project?\*\*

My overall impression was that it was interesting how the author of the project focused on verbal phrases, words, concepts, etc., because it helped me realize alternate ways R would be used to web scrape other than gathering numeric data. I liked the idea of doing this. I also appreciated seeing brand new things in this person's R code.

\*\*Other encouragements/critiques you would like to provide to your colleague in order for them to have a more refined project.\*\*

My biggest critique would be that during their actual presentation of everything, the presenter took a lot of unnecessary pauses, often repeated the same thing multiple times, used excessive wording to explain things, etc., so basically I think they could have summed up many of their major points much with less time and unnecessary pauses during their presentation.